

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,730	01/23/2004	Jesse Wainright	CWRU-P01-022	7333
26294 7590 08/09/2007 TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL & TUMMINO L.L.P. 1300 EAST NINTH STREET, SUITE 1700			EXAMINER	
			MAI, NGOCLAN THI	
CLEVEVLAN	D, OH 44114		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		1742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/763,730	WAINRIGHT ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Ngoclan T. Mai	1742		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period warre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ENTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ma	ay 2007.			
2a)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.	·		
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1,4-7,9-16,18-20 and 22-25</u> is/are penda) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>23-25</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,4-7,9-16,18-20 and 22</u> is/are rejecte Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers		•		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya on is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		• .		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in a ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage		
A 44- 4		·			
Attachmen	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intension	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notic 3) Infon	the of References Cited (F10-692) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) the No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Page 2

1. Claims 1, 4-7, 9-16, 18-20, 22-25 are pending. Upon further consideration claims 1, 4-7, 9-16, 18-20, and 22 are rejected for the reasons as follows. For this reason the finality of

that action is withdrawn.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be

found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1, 4-7, 9-12, 14-16, 18, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanoya et al 2002/0033209 and (U.S. Patent No. 6,656,246) in view of Ikeda. Since the former is the publication of the latter, the following rejection is referenced

by the latter.

Kanoya discloses hydrogen absorbing alloy powder comprising a metal matrix and added—component and formed by mechanical alloying the metal matrix particles and the added component particles (col. 1, lines 52-58.) The hydrogen absorbing alloy used includes Ti-Fe alloy and the added-component include transition metal of group VIII (col. 3, lines 14-34.) The amount of added-component is between 0.1 to 5.0% by atom (col. 5, lines 4-6.) Although the reference teaches the amount of the transition metal in atomic percentage, it is the examiner's position that, when converted to weight percent, this amount inherently encompasses the claimed amount absent evidence to the contrary. In the alternative, no patentable distinction is seen to exist between the reference and the claimed invention in the absence of any evidence showing the contrary.

Art Unit: 1742

The metal matrix particles have particle size D and the added-component particles have particle size d, wherein the relationship between d and D is d≤D/6 (col. 1, lines 59-61.) Metal matrix having particle size D of about 5 microns and added-component with particle size d of smaller than 834 nm (0.834 micron) are used (col. 1, lines 62-67.) The hydrogen absorbing alloy powder taught therefore is made from and comprises hydrogen-absorbing alloy particles and added-component particles wherein the particle sizes of the hydrogen-absorbing alloy and the added-component are within the size range of the instant claims 2 and 16.

The differences between the claims and Kanoya are that Kanoya does not teach a binding agent at least partially covered the mechanically alloyed storage material particles and solvent.

Ikeda teaches a method for forming electrode for alkali batteries comprising adding solvent and binder agent to the hydrogen storage material to form a slurry and applying the slurry to an electrically conductive core body to form a coating; the coated body is then dried to removed the solvent (Ikeda's abstract.) Ikeda teaches employing PEO as binder in an amount of 1% by mass with respect to the mass of the hydrogen absorbing alloy, water as a solvent for PEO binder (col. 4, lines 20-27.) Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the method of Ikeda to make the mechanically alloyed storage material into electrode. It would also be obvious to one skilled in the art to employ the amount and type of binder as well as the type of solvent used by Ikeda to form the electrode of Kanoya.

As for claims 4-7 and 22, Kanoya teaches the types of hydrogen absorbing or storage alloys. See col. 5, lines 7-34.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,730

Art Unit: 1742

As for claim 14·15 Kanoya is silent about hydrogen storage alloy material retains its hydrogen sorption/desorption effectiveness after exposure to ambient air and water or to an aqueous solution of potassium hydroxide. However since the hydrogen storage alloy material of Kanoya is formed by the same materials and produced by the same method, i.e., mechanical alloying, the properties as recited in the instant claims would have inherently possessed by the teachings of the cited references. Therefore, the burden is on the applicant to prove that the product of the prior art does not necessarily or inherently possess characteristics attributed to the claimed product. *In re Spade*, 911 F.2d 705, 708, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990), *In re Best*, 195 USPQ 430 and MPEP § 2112.01.

Claims 13 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanoya in view of Ikeda as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Hampden-Smith et al. (2002/0168570).

Kanoya in view of Ikeda differ from instant claim in that none of the references teaches solvent having low viscosity suitable for screen-printing and ink-jet printing application.

In making battery electrode it is known to applying battery powders to a substrate through the use of a thick-film paste, see Hampden-Smith [0181]. In the thick film process, a viscous paste that includes a functional particulate phase (e.g. a fine battery powder) is screen printed onto a substrate, [0183]. Ink-jet printing is another method for depositing the powders in a predetermined pattern. The powder is dispersed in a liquid medium and dispensed onto a substrate using an ink jet printing head that is computer controlled to produce a pattern, [0193]. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of skill

Application/Control Number: 10/763,730

Art Unit: 1742

on control ryambor 10/100,10

in the art to employ well-known techniques as disclosed by Hampden-Smith to form

electrode of Kanoya in view of Ikeda.

4. Claims 23-25 are allowable.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ngoclan T. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-1246.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 PM Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-

217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA

OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

hoy king '

Page 5

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNICALOGY CENTER 1700

n.m.